ASSIGNMENT 8

EN360 Technical Communication

Directions: Be sure to save an electronic copy of your answer before submitting it to Ashworth College for grading. Unless otherwise stated, answer in complete sentences, and be sure to use correct English, spelling and grammar. Sources must be cited in APA format. Your response should be four (4) double-spaced pages; refer to the "Assignment Format" page located on the Course Home page for specific format requirements.

Part A: Email/Text Problems

What are some of the problems that you have experienced when writing or receiving poorly, perhaps hastily, written emails/texts? Describe at least five (5) types of issues.

Part B: Writing a Process Description

Select a specialized process that you understand well and that has several distinct steps. Using the process description discussed in Chapter 19 of your textbook as a model, write a one page description of this process.

Part C: Difficult to Follow Instructions

Find a short set of instructions or some other technical document from work or the Internet that is hard to follow. Replicate or download those instructions at the top of a one-page analysis. Assume that you are the Associate Director of Communications for the company that produced this document and that you are doing a final review before the document is released. Using the "Checklist for Instructions and Procedures" on the following page (also found in Chapter 20 of your textbook), identify what, specifically, makes the document difficult to use, providing a specific reference for each problem area.

(1	lumbers in parentheses refer to first page of discussion.)
	ontent
	Does the title promise exactly what the instructions deliver? (462)
	Is the background adequate for the intended audience? (465)
0	Do explanations enable readers to understand what to do? (465)
0	Do examples enable readers to see how to do it correctly? (465)
J	Do notes, cautions, or warnings appear before or with the step? (468)
	Is the information rate appropriate for the reader's abilities and the difficulty of this procedure? (466)
j	Are visuals adequate for clarifying the steps? (462)
J	Do visuals repeat prose information whenever necessary? (472)
	Is everything accurate and based on your thorough knowledge? (462)
Or	ganization
0	Is the introduction adequate without being excessive? (473)
9	Do the instructions follow the exact sequence of steps? (474)
)	Is each step numbered, if appropriate? (472)
	Is all the information for a particular step close together? (472)
]	For lengthy instructions, is a layered approach, with a brief reference card, more appropriate? (472)
1	Is the conclusion necessary and, if necessary, adequate? (474)
St	yle
	Does the familiar material appear first in each sentence? (470)
1	Do steps generally have short sentences? (470)
	Does each step begin with an action verb? (470)
	Are all steps in the active voice and imperative mood? (470)
j	Do all steps have parallel and affirmative phrasing? (471)
	Are transitions adequate for marking time and sequence? (471)
Pa	age Design
	Does each heading clearly tell readers what to expect? (472)
1	Are steps single-spaced within, and double-spaced between? (472)
	Is the overall design simple and accessible? (472)
	Are notes, cautions, or warnings set off or highlighted? (472)
	Are visuals beside or near the step, and set off by white space? (472)

Part D: Ted Talk Lecture Evaluation

Watch a 10-20 minute TED Talks lecture online (www.ted.com). There are 27 evaluation criteria on the "Checklist for Oral Presentations" below (also found in Chapter 24 of your textbook). Select 10 among them that you believe are most salient for this speaker. Identify strong areas and areas that could have been better. Provide an example for each. (These are skilled speakers, so you'll probably be highlighting more strengths than weaknesses.)

CHECKLIST: Oral Presentation	ons	
Presentation Evaluation for (name/topic)	A	
Content		Comments
☐ Stated a clear purpose.		normal establishment is promo
☐ Created interest in the topic.	ness are a limited	
☐ Showed command of the material.	Manufaction and the	Charles and Market Street, Str
☐ Supported assertions with evidence.	on when a special transfer	
☐ Used adequate and appropriate visuals.	distraction many all and	
 Used material suited to this audience's needs, knowledge, concerns, and interests 		
□ Acknowledged opposing views.	TIDO ANA BANDUNA	resemment they stay anyon
☐ Gave the right amount of information.	de liberary management	STATE STREET, STATE OF STATE O
Organization		
☐ Began with a clear overview.		
☐ Presented a clear line of reasoning.		
☐ Moved from point to point effectively.		principal and the second second
☐ Stayed on course.		
☐ Used transitions effectively.		
☐ Avoided needless digressions.		
☐ Summarized before concluding.		
 Was clear about what the listeners should think or do. 		
Style		
☐ Dressed appropriately.		A STATE OF THE STA
☐ Seemed confident relaxed, and likable.		
☐ Seemed in control of the speaking situation	n	
☐ Showed appropriate enthusiasm.	ARK THE WHILE	
☐ Pronounced, enunciated, and spoke well.		
☐ Used no slang whatsoever.		
 Used appropriate gestures, tone, volume, and delivery rate. 		
☐ Had good posture and eye contact.		
☐ Interacted with the audience.		
☐ Kept the audience actively involved.	10 m	
$\hfill \square$ Answered questions concisely and convince	cingly.	
Overall professionalism: Superior	Acceptable	Needs work
Evaluator's signature:		

Grading Rubric

Please refer to the rubric below for the grading criteria for this assignment.

CATEGORY	Exemplary	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Unacceptable
Part A: Email/Text	20 points	15 points	10 points	5 points
Problems (20 points)	The student provides 5+ well-	The student provides at	The student provides 5 or	The student provides 5 or
	written problems	least 5 adequately written	less marginally written	less poorly written
	experienced when writing or	problems experienced when	problems experienced	problems experienced
	receiving poorly, perhaps	writing or receiving poorly,	when writing or receiving	when writing or receiving
	hastily, written emails/texts.	perhaps hastily, written	poorly, perhaps hastily,	poorly, perhaps hastily,
		emails/texts.	written emails/texts.	written emails/texts.
Part B: Writing a	15 points	12 points	8 points	5 points
Process Description	The student accurately	The student follows the 3	The student follows the 3	The student fails to follow
(15 points)	follows the 3 segment	segment template to	segment template to	the 3 segment template to
	template to completion. The	completion. The process	completion. But the process	completion. And the
	process description has a	description is good but one	description is lacking in the	process is lacking in the
	clear title, a concise and	or two of the steps could be	title, introduction,	title, introduction,
	effecive introduction, a	clearer.	sequence, or ease of	sequence, or ease of
	functional sequence, and it		understanding.	understanding.
	can be easily understood			
	even for a novice.			
Part C: Difficult to	20 points	15 points	10 points	5 points
Follow Instructions	The student accurately	The student accurately	The student accurately	The student accurately
(20 points)	applies 5 or more of the 28	applies 5 of the 28	applies only 4 of the 28	applies less than 3 of the
	guidelines from the checklist	guidelines from the	guidelines from the	28 guidelines from the
	with applicable examples.	checklist with applicable	checklist with applicable	checklist with applicable
	There are no	examples. There is no more	examples. There are 2 or	examples. There are 3 or
	misidentifications.	than 1 misidentification.	more misidentifications.	more misidentifications.
Part D: Ted Talk	20 points	15 points	10 points	5 points
Lecture Evaluation	The student applies 10 of the	The student applies 10 of	The student applies fewer	The student applies fewer
(20 points)	most salient evaluation	the most salient evaluation	than 8 of the most salient	than 6 of the most salient
1	illost sallelit evaluation	the most sancht evaluation	than o or the most sanent	
, ,	criteria with clear, concise,	criteria with good	evaluation criteria with	evaluation criteria with
. ,	criteria with clear, concise,	criteria with good	evaluation criteria with	evaluation criteria with
	criteria with clear, concise, and persuasive descriptions	criteria with good descriptions and examples,	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples
	criteria with clear, concise, and persuasive descriptions and examples that assess the	criteria with good descriptions and examples, some of which lack	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that are vague and
Mechanics -	criteria with clear, concise, and persuasive descriptions and examples that assess the success or failure of the	criteria with good descriptions and examples, some of which lack specificity and are	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that are vague and
	criteria with clear, concise, and persuasive descriptions and examples that assess the success or failure of the speaker.	criteria with good descriptions and examples, some of which lack specificity and are unpersuasive.	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that lack specificity. 5 points	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that are vague and nonspecific.
Mechanics -	criteria with clear, concise, and persuasive descriptions and examples that assess the success or failure of the speaker. 10 points	criteria with good descriptions and examples, some of which lack specificity and are unpersuasive. 8 points	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that lack specificity. 5 points	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that are vague and nonspecific. 2 points
Mechanics - Grammar,	criteria with clear, concise, and persuasive descriptions and examples that assess the success or failure of the speaker. 10 points Student makes no errors in	criteria with good descriptions and examples, some of which lack specificity and are unpersuasive. 8 points Student makes 1-2 errors in	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that lack specificity. 5 points Student makes 3-4 errors in	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that are vague and nonspecific. 2 points Student makes more than 4 errors in grammar or
Mechanics - Grammar, Punctuation,	criteria with clear, concise, and persuasive descriptions and examples that assess the success or failure of the speaker. 10 points Student makes no errors in grammar or spelling that	criteria with good descriptions and examples, some of which lack specificity and are unpersuasive. 8 points Student makes 1-2 errors in grammar or spelling that	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that lack specificity. 5 points Student makes 3-4 errors in grammar or spelling that	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that are vague and nonspecific. 2 points Student makes more than 4 errors in grammar or
Mechanics - Grammar, Punctuation, Spelling (10 Points)	criteria with clear, concise, and persuasive descriptions and examples that assess the success or failure of the speaker. 10 points Student makes no errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content.	criteria with good descriptions and examples, some of which lack specificity and are unpersuasive. 8 points Student makes 1-2 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content.	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that lack specificity. 5 points Student makes 3-4 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content.	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that are vague and nonspecific. 2 points Student makes more than 4 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content.
Mechanics - Grammar, Punctuation, Spelling (10 Points)	criteria with clear, concise, and persuasive descriptions and examples that assess the success or failure of the speaker. 10 points Student makes no errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content.	criteria with good descriptions and examples, some of which lack specificity and are unpersuasive. 8 points Student makes 1-2 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 12 points	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that lack specificity. 5 points Student makes 3-4 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 8 points	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that are vague and nonspecific. 2 points Student makes more than 4 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 5 points
Mechanics - Grammar, Punctuation, Spelling (10 Points) Format - APA Format, Citations,	criteria with clear, concise, and persuasive descriptions and examples that assess the success or failure of the speaker. 10 points Student makes no errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 15 points The paper is written in	criteria with good descriptions and examples, some of which lack specificity and are unpersuasive. 8 points Student makes 1-2 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 12 points The paper is written in	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that lack specificity. 5 points Student makes 3-4 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 8 points The paper is written in	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that are vague and nonspecific. 2 points Student makes more than 4 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 5 points The paper is not written in
Mechanics - Grammar, Punctuation, Spelling (10 Points) Format - APA Format, Citations, Organization,	criteria with clear, concise, and persuasive descriptions and examples that assess the success or failure of the speaker. 10 points Student makes no errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 15 points The paper is written in proper format. All sources	criteria with good descriptions and examples, some of which lack specificity and are unpersuasive. 8 points Student makes 1-2 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 12 points The paper is written in proper format with only 1-2	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that lack specificity. 5 points Student makes 3-4 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 8 points The paper is written in proper format with only 3-5	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that are vague and nonspecific. 2 points Student makes more than 4 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 5 points The paper is not written in proper format. Many
Mechanics - Grammar, Punctuation, Spelling (10 Points) Format - APA Format, Citations, Organization, Transitions (15	criteria with clear, concise, and persuasive descriptions and examples that assess the success or failure of the speaker. 10 points Student makes no errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 15 points The paper is written in proper format. All sources used for quotes and facts are	criteria with good descriptions and examples, some of which lack specificity and are unpersuasive. 8 points Student makes 1-2 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 12 points The paper is written in proper format with only 1-2 errors. All sources used for	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that lack specificity. 5 points Student makes 3-4 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 8 points The paper is written in proper format with only 3-5 errors. Most sources used	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that are vague and nonspecific. 2 points Student makes more than 4 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 5 points The paper is not written in proper format. Many sources used for quotes
Mechanics - Grammar, Punctuation, Spelling (10 Points) Format - APA Format, Citations, Organization,	criteria with clear, concise, and persuasive descriptions and examples that assess the success or failure of the speaker. 10 points Student makes no errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 15 points The paper is written in proper format. All sources used for quotes and facts are credible and cited correctly.	criteria with good descriptions and examples, some of which lack specificity and are unpersuasive. 8 points Student makes 1-2 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 12 points The paper is written in proper format with only 1-2 errors. All sources used for quotes and facts are credible	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that lack specificity. 5 points Student makes 3-4 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 8 points The paper is written in proper format with only 3-5 errors. Most sources used for quotes and facts are	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that are vague and nonspecific. 2 points Student makes more than 4 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 5 points The paper is not written in proper format. Many sources used for quotes and facts are less than
Mechanics - Grammar, Punctuation, Spelling (10 Points) Format - APA Format, Citations, Organization, Transitions (15	criteria with clear, concise, and persuasive descriptions and examples that assess the success or failure of the speaker. 10 points Student makes no errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 15 points The paper is written in proper format. All sources used for quotes and facts are credible and cited correctly. Excellent organization,	criteria with good descriptions and examples, some of which lack specificity and are unpersuasive. 8 points Student makes 1-2 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 12 points The paper is written in proper format with only 1-2 errors. All sources used for quotes and facts are credible and most are cited correctly.	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that lack specificity. 5 points Student makes 3-4 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 8 points The paper is written in proper format with only 3-5 errors. Most sources used for quotes and facts are credible and cited correctly.	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that are vague and nonspecific. 2 points Student makes more than 4 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 5 points The paper is not written in proper format. Many sources used for quotes and facts are less than credible (suspect) and/or
Mechanics - Grammar, Punctuation, Spelling (10 Points) Format - APA Format, Citations, Organization, Transitions (15	criteria with clear, concise, and persuasive descriptions and examples that assess the success or failure of the speaker. 10 points Student makes no errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 15 points The paper is written in proper format. All sources used for quotes and facts are credible and cited correctly. Excellent organization, including a variety of	criteria with good descriptions and examples, some of which lack specificity and are unpersuasive. 8 points Student makes 1-2 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 12 points The paper is written in proper format with only 1-2 errors. All sources used for quotes and facts are credible and most are cited correctly. Adequate organization	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that lack specificity. 5 points Student makes 3-4 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 8 points The paper is written in proper format with only 3-5 errors. Most sources used for quotes and facts are credible and cited correctly. Essay is poorly organized,	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that are vague and nonspecific. 2 points Student makes more than 4 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 5 points The paper is not written in proper format. Many sources used for quotes and facts are less than credible (suspect) and/or are not cited correctly.
Mechanics - Grammar, Punctuation, Spelling (10 Points) Format - APA Format, Citations, Organization, Transitions (15	criteria with clear, concise, and persuasive descriptions and examples that assess the success or failure of the speaker. 10 points Student makes no errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 15 points The paper is written in proper format. All sources used for quotes and facts are credible and cited correctly. Excellent organization,	criteria with good descriptions and examples, some of which lack specificity and are unpersuasive. 8 points Student makes 1-2 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 12 points The paper is written in proper format with only 1-2 errors. All sources used for quotes and facts are credible and most are cited correctly. Adequate organization includes a variety of	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that lack specificity. 5 points Student makes 3-4 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 8 points The paper is written in proper format with only 3-5 errors. Most sources used for quotes and facts are credible and cited correctly. Essay is poorly organized, but may include a few	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that are vague and nonspecific. 2 points Student makes more than 4 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 5 points The paper is not written in proper format. Many sources used for quotes and facts are less than credible (suspect) and/or are not cited correctly. Essay is disorganized and
Mechanics - Grammar, Punctuation, Spelling (10 Points) Format - APA Format, Citations, Organization, Transitions (15	criteria with clear, concise, and persuasive descriptions and examples that assess the success or failure of the speaker. 10 points Student makes no errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 15 points The paper is written in proper format. All sources used for quotes and facts are credible and cited correctly. Excellent organization, including a variety of	criteria with good descriptions and examples, some of which lack specificity and are unpersuasive. 8 points Student makes 1-2 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 12 points The paper is written in proper format with only 1-2 errors. All sources used for quotes and facts are credible and most are cited correctly. Adequate organization	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that lack specificity. 5 points Student makes 3-4 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 8 points The paper is written in proper format with only 3-5 errors. Most sources used for quotes and facts are credible and cited correctly. Essay is poorly organized,	evaluation criteria with descriptions and examples that are vague and nonspecific. 2 points Student makes more than 4 errors in grammar or spelling that distract the reader from the content. 5 points The paper is not written in proper format. Many sources used for quotes and facts are less than credible (suspect) and/or are not cited correctly.

This is the end of Assignment 8.